

Excellence in Community Engagement Visioning & Planning Advisory Committee (E-CEVPAC)

April 18, 2011, 9-11am

Meeting held at UNCG in MHRA 2711

ATTENDEES (16):

Kristin Buchner	Emily Janke	Jim Settle
Cherry Callahan	Laurie Kennedy-Malone	Terri Shelton
Patti Clayton	Jerry McGuire	Jim Summey
Kathleen Edwards	Chris Payne	Dianne Welsh
Cathy Hamilton	Nell Pynes	
Julia Jackson-Newsom	Cathryne Schmitz	

ABSENTEES (15):

Chelsea Boccardo	Ed Kitchen	Hollie Rose-Galli
Brenda Elliott	Bonnie Landaverdy	Stephen Sills
Colleen Fairbanks	Patrick Lee Lucas	Sheron Sumner
Susan Feit	Donna Newton	Anthony Wade
Spoma Jovanovic	Diane Picciuto	Bob Wineburg

Welcome and Overview

- ❖ Focus of April meeting = COMMUNICATION
- ❖ Review of E-CEVPAC charge and key terms/definitions (page 1 of handout)
- ❖ Review of takeaways from March meeting regarding communication and partnership development (page 2 of handout)
- ❖ Announcements from Committee Members

Discussion – Challenges and Successes for Communication and Partnership Development (page 3 of handout)

Question 1: What are the key challenges or frustrations that you've experienced when trying to connect between the community and UNCG?

- challenges in communication
- challenges to identifying, activating, or sustaining reciprocal partnerships

Key themes synthesized below:

Communication Challenges

- ❖ Knowing who, what, resources are available
- ❖ Limited time, resources, people
- ❖ "Language barriers" among academics and community partners – difficult to understand each other
- ❖ Understanding what each person/organization wants or needs

- ❖ Faculty and student intentions – transparency and realistic/explicit expectations of and to community partners
- ❖ Silos in communication – difficult to find out who is doing what (both UNCG and Community)
- ❖ Underlying issues in promotion and tenure
- ❖ Integrated learning - not much of a difference in the minds of many community partners regarding whether students are there for curricular or co-curricular reasons - and what the corresponding expectation are
- ❖ Next generation issues - younger people use new forms of communication (e.g., text, Twitter, FaceBook) and learning and stop using other forms (e.g., e-mail).

Partnership Challenges

- ❖ No “best practices” for creating an open space for partnership development (focusing on the possibilities instead of the limitations)
- ❖ Creating a “win-win” relationship – one that intentionally and intrinsically benefits all parties involved
- ❖ Deciding what is rewarded and why – faculty incentives and acknowledgements, P&T
- ❖ Operationalizing promotion and tenure – guidelines at UNCG recently revised and enacted, how will this affect community engagement and partnerships? Will this change faculty views of partnerships?
- ❖ Timelines – student, university, and community – difficult to partner when all parties have busy schedules to coordinate
- ❖ Competitive vs. collaborative goals – businesses and some nonprofits are in constant competition with each other; sometimes these goals clash with the university’s goals
- ❖ Different reasons for partnering – P&T vs. significant community impact/outcomes, etc.
- ❖ Access to sustainable resources – sufficient to sustain prolonged reciprocal partnerships?
- ❖ Finding people interested in partnering – difficult to locate people with similar interests in topic area, research focus, or timeline
- ❖ Reporting and tracking – how do we accurately record and represent partnership work?

Question 2: What are some of the successes that we’ve seen work with regards to overcoming these challenges. Successes may be ones at UNCG, or elsewhere.

- a. successes in communication
- b. successes in identifying, activating, or sustaining reciprocal partnerships

Communication Successes

- ❖ Expressions of gratitude – acknowledging contributions, following up with results and outcomes (“closing the loop”)
- ❖ First build relationships, *then* expand to collaborate
- ❖ Open space for all – responsive and productive communication
- ❖ Tapping into student idealism and optimism for partnering and successful outcomes
- ❖ Having a designee or point person who can respond to inquiries and refer when appropriate
- ❖ Facilitating linkages of service providers within UNCG and the greater community

Partnership Successes

- ❖ Mixed committees – objective and constructive discussions involving community members, students, faculty, and staff

- ❖ Written MOU's – clarify expectations and increase transparency
- ❖ Formal evaluations – pre/post; help articulate impact of partnership, reasons to continue or expand partnership
- ❖ Student-run communications – use of facebook and other social media/current communication tools to reach students in partnership work
- ❖ Blended funding – money acquired (federal grants or otherwise) to support multiple goals - student learning, community and economic development
- ❖ Appropriate attitudes – enter partnership with positive attitude; respectful, collaborative, etc.

Both a Partnership Challenge AND Success

- ❖ Redirecting inquiries – helping to facilitate connections on and off campus through referrals or redirection of questions to the appropriate resource
- ❖ Constructive confrontations – objectively confronting misunderstandings/conflict between community and university members
- ❖ Sustained relationships – creating and *maintaining* long-standing reciprocal partnerships
- ❖ Expressions of gratitude – acknowledging contributions, following up with results and outcomes (“closing the loop”)
- ❖ Expanding collaborations – facilitating the further development of a partnership to a higher level or different project
- ❖ Co-creation – are all parties in the partnership helping to develop and/or learning from the relationship or research question?
- ❖ Resource allocation – how are resources used by each member in the partnership? How is this decided upon?

Communication Model – Towson University – “TU in the Community”

We are currently using Towson’s “TU in the Community” as a model on which to build a website/database for UNCG focused on partnerships. [www.towson.edu/tuinthecommunity.com]

See PowerPoint slides for overview of the website/database, as well as key issues.

[TU in the Community] is an innovative outreach website for use by community members, students, faculty, and staff that includes:

- ❖ A searchable database of TU's community engagement initiatives, including services learning and community service projects
- ❖ A web enabled map to locate projects happening across the region
- ❖ A directory of faculty and staff leading community engaged scholarship and projects
- ❖ A listing of upcoming events associated our community partnerships
- ❖ Contact information for partnering with Towson
- ❖ Important links for accessing departments and projects across campus; including summer camps, internship opportunities, and more

For the May 9th meeting: Please think about and be prepared to discuss the following questions:

How, if at all, will a website help us to address these challenges or build on existing successes?

What would a personalized approach (i.e., something beyond a passive website) look like - what would it do?